

## MISALLOCATION OR RISK-ADJUSTED CAPITAL ALLOCATION

## BY J. DAVID, LUKAS SCHMID, AND D. ZEKE

## DISCUSSION BY E. MCGRATTAN, SEPTEMBER 2017



- How much of variation in MPK due to risk?
- DSZ's answer:  $\approx 30\%$



- MPK dispersion usually attributed to misallocation
- But, "distortions" are just nonstructural wedges



- Provides factor to account for dispersion of MPK
- Moves toward connecting macro and finance



• Use standard Euler equation:

$$1 = E_t M_{t+1} (MPK_{i,t+1} + 1 - \delta)$$
  
=  $E_t M_{t+1} (\theta X_{t+1}^{\beta_i} Z_{i,t+1} K_{i,t+1}^{\theta - 1} + 1 - \delta)$ 

• With some algebra, can show:

$$E_t m p k_{i,t+1} = \alpha_t + \beta_i \gamma(x_t) \sigma_{\epsilon}^2$$
$$\Rightarrow \sigma_{E_t[mpk]}^2 = (\sigma_\beta \gamma(x_t) \sigma_{\epsilon}^2)^2$$

where X is aggregate TFP and  $\epsilon$  is its innovation



$$\sigma_{E_t[mpk]}^2 = (\sigma_\beta \gamma(x_t) \sigma_\epsilon^2)^2$$

• Little variation in aggregate TFP, e.g.,  $\sigma_{\epsilon}=.007$ 

$$\Rightarrow \text{Tiny } \sigma_{\epsilon}^4 = 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  DSZ need risk-sensitive investors and large  $\sigma_\beta$ 



- Why are high MPK companies more risky?
- How accurate is DSZ's measure of capital?
- What are implications for the macroeconomy?



## Why are high MPK companies risky?

- Premise of paper is MPK dispersion due to risk
  - $\circ\,$  But, DSZ missing Fama-French like narrative
  - Is DSZ's new risk factor just picking up size?



- Consider sorting firms
  - First by market capitalization (size)
  - $\circ~$  Then by DSZ's proxy for MPK
- What are the annual returns?





Punchline: Most of dispersion in small cap firms



- Compustat's PPENT:
  - $\circ\,$  Measures book not reproducible capital
  - $\circ\,$  Misses intangibles



- Intellectual property products investment included:
  - $\circ R\&D$
  - $\circ$  Artistic originals
  - $\circ$  Software (first introduced in 1999)

• While much investment still missing, category is large...



• Private fixed nonresidential investment, 2012

22% Structures

45% Equipment

33% Intellectual property

• Also have data for detailed industrial sectors











- Consider large companies by
  - $\circ\,$  R&D spending
  - Brand building

• Any systematic variation in MPKs and returns?





Punchline: No pattern



- 500 largest firms account for most of NIPA value added
- What if DSZ restrict attention to these?



- Questions for DSZ:
  - Why are high MPK companies more risky?
  - How accurate is DSZ's measure of capital?
  - What are implications for the macroeconomy?