

Comments: Modern DSGE Models

Ellen McGrattan

 $\mathrm{May} \ 2018$



- Ad-hoc IS-LM models
- Replaced by DSGEs with
 - $\circ~$ Optimizing households and firms
 - Forward-looking agents
 - Market-clearing prices
 - \Rightarrow Designed to analyze policy



- Eichenbaum:
 - $\circ\,$ DSGEs are used by and useful for central bankers
- Uhlig:
 - DSGEs are not yet useful for central bankers



• DSGEs are now empirically plausible



- DSGEs are now empirically plausible
 - $\circ~{\rm Early}~{\rm RBC}~{\rm models}$
 - No money
 - Frictionless markets
 - Missed key properties of data
 - $\circ\,$ Early NK models
 - More qualitative than quantitative



• DSGEs are now empirically plausible



• DSGEs are now empirically plausible, <u>but</u>



- DSGEs are now empirically plausible, <u>but</u>
 - $\circ\,$ Empirical fit due to
 - Non-micro-founded frictions
 - Non-structural shocks
 - \Rightarrow Effectively have *wedges* in focs



- DSGEs are now empirically plausible, <u>but</u>
 - $\circ\,$ Empirical fit due to
 - Non-micro-founded frictions
 - Non-structural shocks
 - \Rightarrow Effectively have *wedges* in focs
 - Are these models useful for policy analysis?



Quantitatively Important Shocks

- Exogenous shocks to
 - Wage markups
 - $\circ~{\rm Risk}$ premia
- Account for most variation in real activity



Quantitatively Important Shocks

- Exogenous shocks to
 - Wage markups
 - Risk premia
- Account for most variation in real activity

... Next, consider evidence for key labor market variables



Unemployment (Gali, Smets, Wouters)





Unemployment (Gali, Smets, Wouters)









- Invariant to monetary policy?
 - Wage markups:
 - Preference shocks?
 - Monopoly power shocks?
 - Risk premia:
 - Flight-to-quality shocks?
 - External financing cost shocks?
 - Capital quality shocks?
- Without structure, summarizes extent of our ignorance



- To do policy analysis, need to:
 - Identify which wedges most important
 - $\circ~$ Use findings to identify promising DSGE models with
 - Micro-founded frictions
 - Primitive, interpretable shocks
 - Discipline analyses with micro evidence
- So far, have only done step 1



- More emphasis on designing rules and institutions
- Lots of examples from the Minneapolis Fed, eg,
 - Wallace, "A Modigliani-Miller Theorem for O-M Ops" ⇒ Relevant for Quantitative Easing
 - Sargent-Wallace, "Unpleasant Monetartist Arithmetic" ⇒ Relevant for current Euro crisis
 - $\circ~$ Kareken-Wallace, "Deposit Insurance and Bank Reg" \Rightarrow Relevant for Too Big to Fail
- Which were seeds of later quantitative DSGE analyses