Table 14

Redistribution Through Public Finance for all Families

By Income Class — 1961

	s deal of	Income class(x)								
RATIO	Under \$2,908	\$2,000 to 2,999	\$3,000 to 3,999	\$4,000 to 4,999	\$5,000 te 5,999	\$8,000 to 7,499	\$7,500 to 9,989	\$10,000 to 14,990	\$15,000 and ever	TÖTAL
Total expenditures	-	-	Rati	o of govern	ment exper	diture bene	efits to tax	burdens -		
Total taxes				1-1-010-41-12	. Overed .	a defabilite e		WANTE WAS		
(1) Standard assumptions ^b	4.1	2.6	1.7	1.2	1.1	.9	.8	.7	.4	1.0
(2) General benefits allocated all on number of families	5.3	3.0	1.9	1.3	1.0	. 9	.7	.5	.2	1.0
(3) Excluding general benefits ^e	4.8	3.1	1.8	1.2	1.0	8	.7	.6	.3	1.0
Federal expenditures		430								in Wes
Federal Taxes	6.1	3.3	1.9	1.3	1.1	.9	.8	.6	.3	1.0
State-local expenditures										
State-local taxes	2.4	1.8	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.0	.9	8	6	1.0
Social insurance benefits										
Social insurance contributions	7.4	4.3	2.4	.9	.7	.5	. 4	3	.1	1.0
Education expenditures						山性動物				
Property tax burden ^c	1.0	1.4	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.1	. 9	.6	.3	1.0

a. The income class limits are expressed in money income after personal taxes.

Source: Appendix Tables B-9 and B-10.

b. General benefit expenditures allocated half on the basis of number of families and half on the basis of family money income; corporation taxes allocated half on the basis of consumption and half on the basis of dividends.

c. Ratio compares the tax distribution after adjustment of the aggregate amount to equal the total expenditures in the category shown.

d. After deduction of Federal grants-in-aid.

e. Elementary and secondary.

Table 15

Percentage of Urban Families in the BLS Survey Reporting Social Insurance Contributions and Benefits By Income Class — 1961

	Income class			Percentage reporting social insurance							
	(Money inco personal	me after taxes)		Contribution	ns (a)	Benefits					
	Under	\$1,000	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10	A hi biotei i i	44	2. 4 (50). Va 37. 2. 4 (50). Va 37.				
	\$1,000 to	1,999	West in	25	7 to 1 1 1 1 1 1	67					
	2,000 to	2,999	Trans.	61		52					
	3,000 to	3,999	el a Ma	77		41					
	4,000 to	4,999	4 1 0 7	92		27					
	5,000 to	5,999	¥6	94	DV.	25					
	6,000 to	7,499	8 8	98	id, e gd	22	- 4. 1 7 - 7 - 1				
	7,500 to	9,999	58	96		19					
	10,000 to	14,999	ALSO THE PARTY NAMED IN	95	2 1 42	16					
v Praguitani	15,000 and	d over		91	*	13	-1379/10-				
."	Total			81		31	= 8				

a. Does not include employer contributions.

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Survey of Consumer Expenditures 1960-61.

Property Taxes and Education Expenditures

Although it is difficult to relate most taxes to specific expenditures, a comparison can be made between property tax burdens and benefits of education expenditures. Most primary and secondary education expenditures are financed by property taxes; property taxes provide approximately 98 percent of school district tax collections and approximately 85 percent of their total revenues excluding intergovernmental aid.2 Although not all revenues from property taxes go towards primary and secondary education expenditures, it is possible to adjust for the difference between total property taxes and total primary and secondary education expenditures by equating the totals to compare their combined effects

on the redistribution of income. In other words, the amount of education expenditures financed by the property tax can be compared with estimated distribution of property tax burdens for schools.

The benefits from government expenditures on primary and secondary education, when related to property taxes, show some redistribution in favor of families with incomes in 1961 from \$2,000 to \$7,500. However, the difference between benefits and tax burdens is much less than in the case of most other functions of government. On the estimates shown here, no income group received benefits of even as much as twice its property tax, and no income group received benefits of less than one-third of its property tax burden for schools.

U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Government Finances in 1963-64, (Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1965) p. 30.

Appendix A

Nature and Limitations of Family Survey Data For Estimating Tax Burdens

The bases of allocation used in this study were derived from the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Survey of Consumer Expenditures 1960-61. This survey is a decennial one designed primarily to provide information for the Comsumer Price Index. The BLS data were gathered from interviews of a sample of nearly 14,000 families and covering over 4,000 items of receipts and expenditures.1 The reliability of such a survey mainly depends on two things: (a) the accuracy of the information supplied by respondents, and (b) the size of the sample used.

In some cases families will refuse, or be unable, to give the information requested. The BLS attempted to adjust for this problem by cross-checking the data for consistency. However, the BLS was interested more in the accuracy of the reporting of expenditures than of the reporting of income. Therefore, if expenditures did not equal receipts-less-savings, but expenditure items showed consistency and completeness, the data were still used. The deail of data on income by source may thus be less reliable than that on expenditures.

The difference between total reported receipts, disbursements, and net change in assets is shown by the account balancing difference. It is relatively smaller at higher income levels (Table A-1). In other words, the larger the money income, the greater the balancing accuracy of reported income, expenditures, and changes in assets.

The sample size also acts as a determinant of the reliability of a survey's results. As the size of the survey sample is increased, the "sampling variability" in the results grows smaller.

The BLS sample covered 13,728 families. Compared with the size of other consumer surveys such as the Michigan Survey of Consumer Finances,2 which covers about 3,000 families, the BLS sample is large.

Many families, however, did not report receipts of one or more types of income or expenditures on particular items in the questionnaire. The greater the subdivision of income or expenditure categories, the smaller usually was the number of families in each cell reporting, and therefore, the greater the sampling variability in the average figures obtained.

An illustration of this point is the BLS distribution of dividend income. The percentage of urban families (comprising approximately two-thirds of the total sample) reporting dividend income varied from six percent in the under \$2,000 income class to thirty-four percent in the \$15,000 and over income class. The absolute number of families reporting dividend income in the under \$2,000 income group was only forty-nine. Therefore, the probability is large that the dollar amounts of dividend income reported in this class were unrepresenta-

Other examples of items which were reported by relatively few urban families in a particular income class, were automobile purchases (twenty-four families in the under \$2,000 income class in 1961), and education expenditures (forty-eight families in the under \$2,000 income class).

The BLS Survey provides data by income class for single person families and for families of other sizes. It would be possible to produce

U. S. Department of Labor, Consumer Expenditures and Income, Survey of Consumer Expenditures 1960-61, (Supplement 3 to BLS Report No. 237-38; Washington, D. C.: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1965). For a comparison with other surveys, see U. S. Bureau of the Budget, Family Income Distribution Statistics Published by Federal Agencies, (Statistical Evaluation Report No. 5; Washington, D. C.: December 1964, 15 pp. mimeo.; and T. P. Schultz, The Distribution of Personal Income, (Washington, D. C.: U. S. Congress, Joint Economic Committee, December 1964).

The University of Michigan, Survey of Consumer Finances, series of monographs of the Survey Research Center, Institute for Social Research, Ann Arbor.

Table A-1

Account Balancing Difference as a Percentage of Money Income

Before Taxes by Income Class

1960—1961

		CHO IMPERI		Income clas	ss (Meney ince	me after perso	nal taxes)	13 - w - w + 14 c	and the state	
	Under \$2,000	\$2,000 te 2,999	\$3,960 te 3,999	\$4,000 to 4,999	\$5,000 te 5,999	\$6,000 te 7,499	\$7,508 to 9,999	\$10,000 to 14,999	\$15,000 and ever	
Average account balancing difference(s)	\$ 80	\$ 130	\$ 179	\$ 239	\$ 229	\$ 253	\$ 245	\$ 112	\$ 14	
Average money income before personal taxes(b)	1,285	2,618	3,746	4,922	6,045	7,499	9,716	13,583	27,573	
Account balancing difference as a percentage		and Na					is. Aligi Sandigios			: :%:
of money income before personal taxes	6.2%	5.0%	4.8%	4.9%	3.8%	3.4%	2.5%	0.8%	0.1%	

a. The account balancing difference is the difference between reported expenditures, reported income and other money receipts, and reported net changes in assets.

Source: U. S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Survey of Consumer Expenditures 1960-61, Report No. 237-93, February 1965, p. 11.

b. Personal taxes comprise Federal, state and income taxes, poll taxes, and taxes on personal property.

tax burden estimates for families of the same size at different income levels. However, preliminary calculations of tax burdens for two or more person families showed little difference from those for all families. Consequently, the results are not included here.

Nevertheless, the large percentage of single person families at low income levels should be noted. About half of the "families" with incomes under \$2,000 and about one quarter of the families with incomes from \$2,000 to \$3,000 were single person units. These data are shown in Table A-2 together with the average size of family by income class.

Table A-2

Number of Families, Single Person

Units, and Average Size of Families

By Income Class—1961

Income cl	285 (a)	All families	Single person families	Average size, all families	
		Thou	usands ——	- 1 XE	
Under\$	2,000	7,860	3,973	1.9	1
2,000-	2,999	6,077	1,514	2.7	8
3,000-	3,999	6,334	1,152	2.9	
4,000-	4,999	6,972	823	3.2	
5,000-	5,999	7,018	388	3.5	
6,000-	7,499	8,399	392	3.7	
7,500-	9,999	7,585	173	3.9	
10,000- 1	4,999	3,962	- 59	4.1	
15,000 an	d over	1,100	15	3.9	
Total		55,306	8,487	3.2	

a. Money income after personal taxes.

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Survey of Consumer Expenditures 1950-61, Report No. 237-93, February 1965, p. 16.

Appendix B

The Income Concept, Methods and Bases of Allocation

The definition of the income base on which to measure "effective rates" of taxation presents a difficult problem. It involves various assumptions, just as does the choice of methods of allocating tax burdens and expenditure benefits.

The Income Concept

The broadest official measure of total "income" or output of the economy is the gross national product. In general social accounting terms, total "income" equals the value of output in the sense that all of the items on the income side of the accounts must add up to the value of goods and services produced. In the U. S. Department of Commerce definitions and concepts, however, the broadest series to which the term "income" is given is the national income. In summary form, the relationship between gross national product and national income was as follows for the calendar year 1965:

	(Billions)	ŧ,
Gross national product	\$681.2	
Less: Capital consumption allowances	59.6	٠
Equals: Net national product	621.6	
Less: Indirect business taxes	62.7	
Current surplus of govern- ment enterprises minus subsidies(a)	-1.0	93
Business transfer pay- ments(a)	2.6	
Statistical discrepancy(a)	-1.6	
Equals: National Income	559.0	

a. For explanation of these items, see U.S. Department of Commerce, National Income (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office, 1954 edition), pp. 58-60.

The total tax burden could reasonably be related to the GNP as the most inclusive measure of output in the economy. Yet we do not know how taxes affect different elements of national output — we cannot say what parts of the tax burden "come out of" any particular portions of national income or output. In the present study, we assume that the tax burden would not come out of "capital consumption allowances," i.e., the portion of output which is required to replace capital equipment. In wartime, however, through taxation and other measures the government is able to divert resources from maintaining capital equipment to war purposes, and it would then be reasonable to relate the translation burden to gross national product. In peacetime, it seems more reasonable to assume that the tax burden comes out of national output over and above what is required to replace capital equipment. Consequently, we take net national product (NNP) as the most appropriate base against which to measure effective rates of the total tax burden.

It has been argued that narrower bases, such as national income, personal income, or some modification of these Department of Commerce series, would be better bases for measuring effective tax rates of the total tax burden. The argument for the use of net national product is essentially that this is the broadest measure of net output available. If we are to deal with all taxes, we should relate the tax burden to the total output from which the taxes come.

This aggregative argument requires modification when the purpose is to estimate effective rates of tax for families grouped by size of income. For example, how should capital gains be treated? Should they be added to the income base for the purpose of measuring effective rates? From the point of view of the economy as a whole, capital gains do not represent current output and so are excluded from the net national product. From the point of view of the individual family, however, capital gains may represent a very important source of income and be quite relevant in measuring this family's effective tax rate. In fact, however, realized capital gains are, on the average, an insignificant portion of family incomes except at high income levels. This is shown in Table B-1 in which effective rates of tax to personal income including and excluding capital gains are compared. Moreover, undistributed corporate

^{1.} See Tax Foundation, Research Aid No. 4. The Tax Burden in Relation to National Income and Product, for a fuller discussion of this subject.

Some question whether there should be further "netting" of certain items, particularly indirect taxes, in arriving at a total income base. For further analysis, see George A. Bishop, "Income Redistribution in the Framework of the National Income Accounts," National Tax Journal, Vol. 19, No. 4, December 1966, pp. 378-390.

Table B-1 Total Taxes as a Percentage of Various Income Bases by Income Class Calendar Year 1961

				Income clas	s (Money incom	e after person	i taxes)			
	Under \$2,000	\$2,000 te 2,999	\$3,000 te 3,999	\$4,000 te 4,999	\$5,000 te 5,919	\$6,000 to 7,499	\$7,500 te 9,999	\$10,000 to 14,999	\$15,000 and ever	TOTAL
Total taxes, including social	* 0 mag :	- 61	10 20 4	uun tää.		THE ST PART		energy .	· Louis A.	
insurance, as a percent of:			112.1			Maria de la companya della companya		79-17 c		
Net national product	- 7-3 ° -	a in hi	1							
Product side	15.1	19.5	24.0	26.1	27.7	29.1	31.1	36.1	66.1	30.5
Factor income side(a)	45.5(b)	33.2	31.5	29.3	28.9	28.3	28.4	29.6	38.9	30.5
Personal income & capital gains	30.5	29.4	32.9	32.7	33.1	32.2	32.2	34.2	42.2	33.6
Personal income	31.0	30.0	33.5	33.1	33.5	32.6	32.7	35.2	50.2	34.7
Money income (BLS)	36.8	35.5	39.5	39.1	39.9	39.3	39.4	42.3	61.0	41.6
Percentage adjusted to the same			e jishali						nd Willia	
effective rate level in the		5.6				All I Control	er er ar			
aggregate:				SHIP PLA					The said of	
Net national product	4243	5-130								- 101-1
Product side	15.1	19.5	24.0	26.0	27.7	29.1	31.1	36.1	66.1	30.5
Factor income side(a)	45.5(b)	33.2	31.5	29.3	28.9	28.3	28.4	29.6	38.9	30.5
Personal income & capital gains	27.7	26.7	29.9	29.7	30.0	29.2	29.2	31.0	38.3	30.
Personal income	27.3	26.3	29.4	29.1	29.4	28.6	28.7	30.9	44.1	30.5
Money income (BLS)	27.0	26.0	29.0	28.7	29.3	28.8	28.9	31.0	44.7	30.
100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	4	7.5					dylle.		12 14 17 14	75 N

Source: Appendix Tables B-9 and B-11.

<sup>a. Equivalent to "national income," i.e., the sum of incomes received in exchange for productive services, plus indirect business taxes.
b. The percentage in the lowest income class is high because the net national product base as the equivalent of factor incomes excludes transfer payments, which make up a sizeable part of the money income of families in this class.</sup>

Table B-2

Bases of Allocation for Net National Product Income Side

Relation of Money Income to NNP

Basis of Allocation (a)

BLS money income before taxes

Plus: "Other labor income"

Net rent, owner-occupied dwellings

Services furnished by financial intermediaries

Food grown and consumed on farms

Food furnished employees

Difference between personal taxes in BLS survey and

in national income accounts

Imputed items in personal saving(b)

Other and unaccounted

[Capital gains]

Equals: Personal income

Less: Transfer to persons

Social insurance benefits

Civilian government pensions

Veterans benefits

Relief and other

Net interest paid by government

Net interest paid by consumers and subsidies less

current surplus of government enterprises

Plus: Non-personal taxes

Corporate profits tax

Contributions for social Insurance

Personal contributions

Employer contributions Indirect business taxes

Undistributed corporate profits

Equals: Net national product

BLS money income

Wages and salaries

Homeowners' housing expenditures

Interest receipts

Value of farm consumed food

Number of full-time earners

Personal taxes

Homeowners' housing expenditure

Money income

Profits on sale of assets

Social insurance benefits

Private pensions

Military allotments and pensions

Public assistance and private relief

Interest receipts

Total current consumption

Half on consumption and half on dividend

income

Social insurance contributions

Total current consumption

Total current consumption

Dividend income

a. Items reported in U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Survey of Consumer Expenditures 1960-61, Report No. 237-38 and Supplement 3 (Washington, D.C.: 1965).

b. Consists largely of investment in housing.

profits, which constitute much of the base for capital gains, are here imputed as income to families.

Similarly, transfer payments received by families, such as veterans benefits, social security payments, welfare payments (see Table B-8 for 1961 amounts), are part of the family's money income out of which it may pay direct taxes or taxes shifted forward in the prices of consumer goods and services. Thus, an income concept equivalent to "national income," which is a total of income payments made in exchange for productive services rendered would not be appropriate for measuring the effective total tax rate on a family with a substantial amount of income from transfers. Of course, it may be argued that since such a family received the

transfer gratis, the family cannot be said to bear the burden of taxes paid out of such transfer payments. To measure the "true" burden we should perhaps include only the taxes borne out of income received in exchange for productive services. However, to conform more closely to everyday usage of the term income, it seems better to relate the total tax burden by income class to an income concept that includes transfers.

"Personal income" as defined in the national income accounts includes transfers, but it excludes indirect business taxes, contributions for social insurance, and the corporate profits tax. The exclusion of these taxes from "personal income" makes it an inappropriate aggregate income base, even though it would be one

appropriate concept for families in a given income class. As a way of meeting the problem of an appropriate income base both in the aggregate and for families by income class, the net national product is used as the total income base, but it is allocated by income class in proportion to the estimated distribution of personal income. The result is the standard base used in the present study. It provides a definition of income that is probably close to the average person's concept of his income and at the same time avoids the overstatement of the effective rate of taxation that results from using an income base that is too narrowly defined.

The alternative income base used here, namely, income-after-taxes-plus-government-expenditures, is a departure from common sense notions of income when we go beyond cash transfer payments and include the benefits of government expenditures received in

kind. Thus, "income" would include the benefits of police and fire protection, education, highways, defense, etc., but would exclude the direct and indirect taxes paid to support these expenditures. On this concept, the family's "real" income does not include what is taken from it in taxes but what it receives from government in expenditure benefits. In the broadest sense, this means including in the family's income base all "income-in-kind," while excluding all taxes, which are not intended to reflect an equivalent amount of economic welfare or real goods and services provided the individual taxpayer.

The effect of such a change in the definition of income is to increase substantially the income base for families at the low end of the income scale because they receive relatively more in expenditure benefits than they pay in taxes. Similarly, the effect is to reduce the in-

Table B-3

Bases of Allocation for Net National Product Product Side

Components of NNP	Basis of Allecation(a)
Government purchases of goods and services	S.
National defense and international affairs	Half on number of families and half on family money income
Other general benefit expenditures(b)	Half on number of families and half on family money income
Elementary and secondary education	Number of children under 18
Higher education	Family expenditures for higher education
Public assistance and other welfare	Income from public social assistance and private relief
Labor	Wages and salaries
Veterans	Military allotments and pensions
Highways	Half on auto operation expenditures Half on total consumption
Interest	Interest receipts
Agriculture	Money income of farm families
Social insurance	Unemployment and social security benefits
Personal consumption expenditures	Total current consumption
Net private domestic investment and net exports	The portion equal to personal money savings(c) on personal savings in BLS survey (about one-fifth of total); the remainder on total family money income.

a. Items reported in U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Survey of Consumer Expenditures 1960-61, Report No. 237-38 (Washington, D.C.: 1965).

^{3.} This is equivalent to allocating the net difference between personal income and net national product (\$58 billion in 1961) in proportion to the distribution of personal income. The difference between personal income and net national product is equal to the excess of non-personal taxes and undistributed profits over government transfers and interest payments. An allocation of total output is also included (Table B-4) in which each major item accounting for the difference between net national product and personal income is distributed on an appropriate item of family income or expenditure; the result is a "factor income" distribution of net national product, that is, one reflecting incomes from productive services.

Includes general government, civilian safety, transportation excluding highways, commerce and finance, health and sanitation, natural resources, and miscellaneous.

c. Total personal saving as shown in national income accounts less imputed items included.

Table B-4

Relation of BLS Money Income to Net National Product: Amounts to Be Allocated on Income Side(a)

Calendar Years 1961 and 1965

(Millions)

		1961	1965	
		1891	1103	
BLS mor	ney income before taxes	\$348,041	\$447,444(b)
Plusi	"Other labor income" Net rent, owner-occupied dwellings Services furnished by financial institutions(o) Food grown and consumed on farms Food furnished employees Difference between personal taxes in BLS survey and in national income accounts Impured items in personal saving Other and unaccounted Capital gains	12,746 6,992 5,296 1,105 2,113 y 13,731 15,500 11,290 13,837	18,539 10,400 7,800 900 1,900 17,300 16,000 14,800 14,000	
Equals:	Personal income and capital gains Personal income excluding capital gains	430,651 416,814	549,083 535,083	12.22
Lessi	Transfers to persons Social insurance benefits Civilian government pensions Veterans benefits and pensions Relief and other Net interest paid by government Net interest paid by consumers and subsidies les current surplus of government enterprises	18,034 2,499 5,544 4,344 7,390 55	22,408 3,618 5,625 5,486 9,289	
Plus	Non-personal taxes Corporate profits tax liabilities Half on consumption Half on dividends Contributions for social insurance Personal contributions Employer contributions Indirect business tax & non-tax liability	11,552 11,552 9,598 11,843 47,699	15,591 15,591 13,212 16,002 61,043	
	Undistributed corporate profits(d)	12,687	23,845	v v
Equals:	Net national product	474,865	621,618	

a. Details of several income items are not comparable in 1961 and 1965 because of revisions in the national income accounts.

Line Address State Communications and the

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics, Survey of Current Business, July 1964, pp. B and 35, and July 1966, pp. 13 and 36; U.S. Treasury Department, Statistics of Income, Individual Returns, 1961 (for capital gains); U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, Survey of Consumer Expenditures 1960-61 (for money income before taxes); money income and certain other items for 1965 are Tax Foundation estimates.

come base for families at the high end of the income scale because they pay relatively more in taxes than they receive in government expenditure benefits. Consequently, any allocation of taxes by income class will be more progressive when related to the income-after-

taxes-plus-government-expenditures base than when related to the income-before-taxes base.4

The effect of different definitions of income on effective tax rates by income class (on the allocation bases used here) is shown in Table B-1.

b. Estimated.

c. Excludes insurance companies.

d. Includes inventory valuation adjustment.

^{4.} A national income base (i.e., a total of income received in exchange for productive services) would be more relevant if we were primarily concerned with the prices paid for the factors of production and with effects of these on the allocation of resources. The income-after-taxes-plus-government expenditures base is more relevant if we primarily concerned (as in this study) with the real welfare position of families as directly affected by government operations.

Income Allocations

The imputation of various forms of income other than money income to families by income class follows assumptions of incidence similar to those used for the tax and expenditure allocations. On the income side, the choice of appropriate bases for imputing income was straightforward for most of items accounting for the difference between family money income and net national product—straightforward at least in the choice of bases available in the BLS Survey. The items used are listed in Tables B-2 and B-3. For most kinds of income to be imputed there were corresponding money items which provided suitable bases of allocation.

The doubtful items, of course, are the nonpersonal taxes. In accordance with the assumptions used in the tax burden allocation, the corporate profits tax was imputed and allocated half on the basis of consumption expenditures and half in proportion to dividend income. Employers social insurance contributions similarly were allocated on the basis of consumption expenditures. In other words, where the tax burden of non-personal taxes was assumed to be shifted forward, a corresponding amount of "income" was also imputed to those assumed to be bearing the tax. This is analogous to the procedure of imputing to stockholders as income the portion of the corporate tax which they are assumed to bear. Presumably, in the absence of the tax, their incomes would be correspondingly larger.

On the product side, government expenditures were allocated as income on the same bases as expenditures were allocated as benefits. (Tables B-3 and B-5.) Net private domestic investment and net exports (which together equal total private investment) were allocated on two bases. First, a portion of the total equal to personal money savings in the national income accounts was allocated on a modified set of savings data from the BLS Survey of Consumer Expenditures. The modification consisted of eliminating the negative savings shown for the lowest income classes. Money

Table B-5

Net National Product Components to Be Allocated on Product Side

Calendar Year 1961

(Millions)

),1		Amount	
Name of the second	: 1-1	A	2.15%
	Government purchases of goods and services	385 N	1000
Connect Street	National defense & International affairs		
. 01	Half on number of families	\$ 24,298	ica .
11 1000	Half on money income before taxes	24,280	128
- 10 M	Other general benefit expenditures		- 100
	Half on number of families	12,486	
a section	Half on money income before taxes	12,486	TRO-C KI N
	Elementary & secondary education	16,606	
		3,161	L. The history
W #0 W.	Higher education	1,494	4
	Public assistance and other welfare		5, 6,
	Labor and manpower	590	
	Veterans	1,307	.25
	Highways	****	
	Half on auto operation expenditures	4,696	
	Half on total consumption	4,697	
	Agriculture	1,250	
	Social insurance	286	
	Personal consumption expenditures	335,152	
	Net private domestic investment and net exports	53	# 500 F
B 5	Portion on personal savings	6,000(a)	33.00
	Portion on money-income before taxes	26,088	
	Net national product	474,865	

a. Estimated as equal to the 1962 figure for personal savings excluding imputed items (see Survey of Current Business, July 1966, Table 7.3, p. 36).

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics, Survey of Current Business, July 1966 (and detail by function as yet unpublished).

Table B-6

Tax Amounts to Be Allocated by Income Class(*)

1961 and 1965

(Millions)

	1961	1965	4
	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	275. T	3 17 12 h
Federali	To a live recover		
Individual income	\$ 42,668	\$ 51,336	V
Corporation income		# F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F F	A Transfer of
Half on consumption	10,875	14,573	63 A 4 4
Half on dividends	10,876	14,574	200 200 10
Estate and gift	1,814	2,820	12.0
Alcoholic beverage	3,212	3,722	
Tobacco	2,015	2,084	33.412.45
Telephone and telegraph	836	390	4.1
Auto purchase	1,859	1,724	+ 3.5 + 1.552
Auto operation	2,323	2,532	
Other excises, etc. (b)	3,561	6,415	100
Social Insurance		15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	147.47
Personal contributions	8,228	11,272	7-12 mm
Employer contributions(0)	7,528	10,431	With AVE
		August Survey and	7.17.75
State and local:	- 1		
individual income	2,648	4,417	二二九 单级
Corporation income			
Half on consumption	676	1,018	
Half on dividends	677	1,018	the control of the control
Gift and inheritance	489	781	- 100
Alcoholic beverage	701	946	
Tobacco	1,038	1,425	68 134
Auto purchase	5500		(d)
Auto operation	5,178	6,493	120 (dt) (d
General sales (excluding auto purchase)	4,192	6,429	
Other excises, etc.(b)	9,067	12,389	The state of
Property tax	100 A 104		12.00
Half on consumption	8,969	11,938	
Half on housing expenditures	8,969	11,938	
Social Insurance	1 + 25 + 4 1		d project
Personal contributions	1,370	1,940	regin (Cent
Employers contributions(c)	4,315	5,571	2005 at 15
			7.7
Total Federal taxes excluding social insurance	80,039	100,170	2.05 45.0
Total Federal taxes including social insurance	95,795	121,873	100
Total State-local taxes excluding social insurance	43,154	59,656	and the same
Total State-local taxes including social insurance	48,839	67,167	40 to 1 - W
. VI 196.1	M MARKET CONTRACTOR		7 - 12-1
All governments, excluding social insurance	123,193	159,826	
All governments, including social insurance	144,634	189,040	
garannanaj manania aasta mastalia	244,004		

a. Net of refunds estimated by type of tax.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics, Survey of Current Business, August 1965, pp. 36-39, and July 1966, pp. 21, 22.

savings are only about one-fifth of total personal saving as defined in the national income accounts⁵, and the definition of personal money saving in the national income accounts differs considerably from saving as used in the BLS Survey. The remaining portion of total investment was allocated by income class in proportion to family money income. In other words, this portion of investment, which is financed from various sources of savings in addition to

b. Includes nontax receipts.

c. Unemployment insurance classified as a state tax.

d. Estimate based on Bureau of the Census data.

^{5.} The remainder of personal saving consists largely of imputed saving reflecting residential construction. (See Survey of Current Business, July 1966, p. 36.)

personal money savings, was imputed to families as a general form of income, in which families could be presumed to participate in proportion to their money incomes.

Tax and Expenditure Allocations

For consistency with the national income accounts, the total tax and expenditure amounts allocated are total government receipts and expenditures as shown in these accounts. (Tables

B-6 and B-7) This means that a small amount of "nontaxes" is included in the tax totals. The amount at the Federal level is negligible, but at the state and local level the total of nontaxes was \$3.8 billion in 1961; this is enough to raise substantially the total of "other excises" shown in Table 3 in the text above. Since this amount was allocated on the basis of consumption expenditures, the inclusion of nontaxes also tends slightly to increase the appar-

11

Table B-7

Expenditure Amounts to Be Allocated by Income Class

Calendar Years 1961 and 1965

(Millions of dollars)

	1961	1965	
Faderal			
National defense and international affairs	13		
Half on number of families	\$ 25,713	\$ 27,261	re 1 e
Half on money income before taxes	25,713	27,262	1-145
Other general benefit	8		selic kero
Half on number of families	4,634	8,673	
Half on money income before taxes	4,634	8,673	10 17 19 1
Elementary & secondary education	305	406	W. C.
Higher education	211	447	
Public assistance & other welfare	2,862	4,829	Service.
Labor & manpower	595		7
Veterans benefits	6,143	6,365	i dany
Highways	2 20		1,121,21
Half on auto operation expenditures	1,369	1,954	
Half on total consumption	1,369	1,954	1,000
Net Interest	6,366	8,306	m 2
Agriculture	3,980	4,410	
Social insurance benefits(a)	13,948	19,667	10, 10
Mary Special Control of the Control	100 mm	. 3	100
State and local(b)			
General benefit			
Half on number of families	8,848	12,245	71
Half on total income	H 8,848	12,245	
Elementary & secondary education	16,321	22,230	
Higher education	2,951	4,599	Garage Garage
Public assistance & other welfare	2,222	2,472	
Labor and manpower	10	- 69	
Veterans benefits	113	20	
Highway transportation	4 2 200	222	
Half on auto operation expenditures	3,144	3,718	
Half on total consumption	3,145	3,718	4.
Net interest	766	553	
Agriculture	524	598	
Social insurance benefits(a)	4,244	2,260	
Totals			
Total Federal, excluding social insurance	83,894	101,430	
Total Federal, including social insurance	97,842	121,097	
Total State-local, excluding social insurance	46,882	62,471	
Total State-local, including social insurance	51,126	64,731	
All governments, excluding social insurance	130,776	163,901	
All governments, including social insurance	1.00 E		
VII Posettiments! Incinding social jugitance	148,968	185,828	

a. Unemployment insurance classified as a state-local program.

Source: U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Business Economics, Survey of Current Business, July 1966, p. 25, and unpublished detail for 1961.

b. After deduction of Federal grants-in-aid.

ent degree of regressivity in the total state and local tax burden.

Maintaining consistency with the totals in the national income accounts makes the difference between total "taxes" and total expenditures equal to the government surplus or deficit in these accounts. Consistency with the national income accounts is useful for theoretical purposes as well as statistically in making allocations of the differences between family money income and net national product.

On the expenditure side, Federal grants-inaid by function were deducted from state-local expenditures in obtaining amounts to be allocated by income class. This procedure puts the expenditures at the governmental level where the corresponding tax burdens are levied.

The most crucial assumption in the tax allocations is that the burden of most business, excise, and sales taxes is shifted forward to the consumer. This assumption is most easily justified where the tax in question applies to only one product, e.g., cigarettes, or a relatively narrow range of products, e.g., automobiles and parts. However, where taxes become more general, as in the case of state sales taxes and business property taxes, the question of forward shifting is subject to more doubt. Some economists have argued that general sales taxes are shifted backward to the owners of the factors of production.

Such an assumption would change the apparent distribution of the tax burden by reducing the estimated burden for low income groups, where consumption substantially exceeds income from productive services, and increase the burden for high income groups, where consumption is a smaller percentage of income from productive services. Chart B-1 shows the difference between the distribution of consumption and wages and salaries in the BLS Survey. Although there is a difference between the distribution of wages and salaries and total income from productive services (including interest, dividends, and rent), it is evident that an assumption of backward shifting of general sales and business taxes would substantially reduce the tax burden estimates for income classes below \$4,000. However, the differences in resulting tax burden estimates would be smaller than is suggested by Chart B-1 because consistency would require a corresponding allocation of indirect business taxes as income on the basis of income from productive services rather than on the basis of consumption.

The various statistical bases of allocation are shown in Table B-8. The resulting amounts of estimated tax burdens and expenditure benefits are shown in Tables B-9 and B-10. The amounts of net national product allocated on various bases by income class are shown in Table B-11.

An alternative procedure would have been to eliminate from total expenditures an amount equal to nontax receipts.

Table B-8
Statistical Bases of Allocations by Income Class, 1960-61
(Dollar amounts in millions)

		1.00		Income cia	ss (Money inco	me after perso	nal taxes)		0.20	
	Under \$2,000	\$2,000 te 2,999	\$3,000 ts 3,999	\$4,000 te 4,999	\$5,000 to 5,999	\$6,000 te 7,499	\$7,500 te 9,999	\$10,000 te 14,999	\$15,000 and ever	TOTAL
Money income before taxes	\$10,170	\$15,928	\$23,613	\$34,260	\$42,389	\$63,001	\$73,620	\$53,863	\$31,239	\$348,083
Personal taxes	251	662	1,412	2,928	3,895	6,585	8,730	7,266	6,880	38,609
Total current consumption	12,884	16,280	22,625	30,300	36,346	50,839	55,992	37,667	15,700	278,633
Dividends	. 50	138	398	230	407	442	590	1,205	2,018	5,478
Capital gains(a)	186	297	410	465	465	788	1,188	1,613	5,971	11,382
Estate and gift		-	1 - 21	. <u></u>		- 1. <u></u>	-	-	100	100
Alcoholic beverages	101	158	336	411	484	790	933	713	261	4,187
Tobacco	242	371	488	627	702	957	941	475	161	4,964
Telephone and Telegraph	262	276	396	497	593	836	856	551	216	4,483
Auto purchase	204	470	1,041	1,890	2,478	3,023	3,476	2,476	723	15,781
Auto operation	412	969	1,802	2,621	3,155	4,503	4,575	2,926	902	21,865
Housing expenditures	4,626	5,129	6,746	2,583	10,604	14,488	15,564	10,270	4,690	80,700
Home owners' housing expenditures	705	868	1,130	1,644	2,405	4,143	4,408	2,896	1,394	19,593
Higher education	41	61	101	195	295	462	607	745	432	2,939
Military allotments and pensions	397	507	687	510	491	692	572	- 380	137	4,373
Private pensions	69	205	353	237	145	137	112	211	213	1,682
Public assistance	1,363	680	222	146	141	78	48	61	1	2,740
Value of farm consumed food	290	218	253	171	167	183	134	94	20	1,530
Interest	217	448	450	401	372	519	730	667	681	4,494
Farm money income	910	1,416	1,911	1,820	2,066	2,384	2,486	1,880	1,548	16,421
Social insurance contributions	62	245	494	923	1,157	1,664	1,790	1,203	280	7,818
Social insurance benefits	4,131	3,853	3,326	1,862	1.782	1,802	1,506	910	121	19,293
Wages and salaries	2,661	8,091	15,302	27,642	35,715	54,164	63,210	43,707	15,993	266,485
Personal savings(b)		-	- 1	- 1	346	1,211	2,673	2,823	3,944	10,998
Number of families(c) (Thous.)	7,860	6,077	6,334	6,972	7,018	8,399	7,585	3,962	1,100	55,307
Number of full-time earners (Thous.)	1,536	2,445	3,917	5,870	6,311	9,187	8,905	5,239	1,342	44,752
Number of children under 18 (Thous.)	3,443	5,467	6,912	9,744	10,627	13,619	11,654	5,524	1,325	68,315

a. Based on income tax return data adjusted on a money-income-after-tax class distribution.

Source: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Survey of Consumer Expenditures 1960-61, Report No. 237-93, February 1965, and Supplement 2, June 1966; Treasury Department, Statistics of Income, Individual Returns 1961 (for capital gains).

b. Based on net change in assets and liabilities in BLS Survey for income classes which showed a positive amount of saving.

c. Includes single person units.

Table B-9
Allocated Federal, State and Local Tax Burdens by Income Class, 1961
(Millions)

	Income class (Money income atter personal taxes)										
		Under \$2,000	\$2,000 to 2,999	\$3,000 to 3,999	\$4,000 to 4,999	\$5,000 te 5,999	\$6,800 te 7,499	\$7,500 te 9,999	\$10,000 te 14,999	\$15,000 and ever	TOTAL
Federal, total		\$1,762	\$3,039	\$5,505	\$8,218	\$10,588	\$15,889	\$19,258	\$16,101	\$15,434	\$95,795
Individual income		277	732	1,560	3,236	4,304	7,277	9,648	8,030	7,603	42,668
Corporate income		602	909	1,673	1,640	2,227	2,862	3,356	3,862	4,620	21,751
Half on consumption	1.0	503	635	883	1,183	1,419	1,984	2,185	1,470	613	10,875
Half on dividends		99	274	790	457	808	878	1,171	2,392	4,007	10,876
Estate and gift				1				17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1	- J 	1,814	1,814
Excises, customs, and other		469	700	1,139	1,553	1,857	2,624	2,855	1,924	678	13,806
Alcoholic beverage		77	121	258	315	371	606	716	547	200	3,212
Tobacco		98	151	198	255	285	388	382	193	65	2,015
Telephone and telegraph		49	51	74	93	111	156	160	103	40	836
Auto purchase		24	55	123	223	292	356	409	292	85	1,859
Auto operation		44	103	191	278	335	478	486	311	96	2,323
Other taxes (a)		156	193	260	343	406	561	615	420	167	3,120
Nontax receipts		20	26	36	48	58	80	89	60	25	441
Social insurance		413	698	1,131	1,790	2,200	3,125	3,397	2,284	719	15,756
Personal contributions		65	258	520	971	1,218	1,751	1,884	1,266	295	8,228
Employer contributions		348	440	611	819	982	1,374	1,513	1,018	424	7,528
State and local, total	0 8	1,983	2,619	3,816	5,192	6,310	8,876	9,739	6,676	3,628	48,839
Individual income		17	45	97	201	267	452	599	498	472	2,648
Corporation income	3.55	37	56	104	102	138	178	209	240	287	1,353
Half on consumption		31	39	55	74	88	123	136	91	38	676
Half on dividends		6	17	49	28	50	55	73	149	249	677
Gift and inheritance		idalija i								489	489
				(contin	iued)						