## MACROECONOMIC THEORY ECON 8105 ANSWERS TO MIDTERM EXAMINATION

1. (a) With an Arrow-Debreu markets structure futures markets for goods are open in period 0. Consumers trade futures contracts among themselves.

An **Arrow-Debreu equilibrium** is sequence of prices  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, ...$  and consumption levels  $\hat{c}_0^1, \hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1, ...; \hat{c}_0^2, \hat{c}_1^2, \hat{c}_2^2, ...$  such that

• Given  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots$ , consumer i, i = 1, 2, chooses  $\hat{c}_0^i, \hat{c}_1^i, \hat{c}_2^i, \dots$  to solve

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \log c_{t}^{i}$$
  
s.t. 
$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \hat{p}_{t} c_{t}^{i} \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \hat{p}_{t} w_{t}^{i}$$
$$c_{t}^{i} \geq 0.$$

•  $\hat{c}_t^1 + \hat{c}_t^2 = w_t^1 + w_t^2$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \dots$ 

(b) With sequential market markets structure, there are markets for goods and bonds open every period. Consumers trade goods and bonds among themselves.

A sequential markets equilibrium is sequences of interest rates  $\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \hat{r}_3, ...,$ consumption levels  $\hat{c}_0^1, \hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1, ...; \hat{c}_0^2, \hat{c}_1^2, \hat{c}_2^2, ...,$  and asset holdings  $\hat{b}_1^1, \hat{b}_2^1, \hat{b}_3^1, ...;$  $\hat{b}_1^2, \hat{b}_2^2, \hat{b}_3^2, ...$  such that

• Given  $\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \hat{r}_3, \dots$ , the consumer i, i = 1, 2, chooses  $\hat{c}_0^i, \hat{c}_1^i, \hat{c}_2^i, \dots; \hat{b}_1^i, \hat{b}_2^i, \hat{b}_3^i, \dots$  to solve

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \log c_{t}^{i}$$
  
s.t.  $c_{0}^{i} + b_{1}^{i} \le w_{0}^{i}$   
 $c_{t}^{i} + b_{t+1}^{i} \le w_{t}^{i} + (1 + \hat{r}_{t})b_{t}^{i}$ ,  $t = 1, 2, ...$   
 $b_{t}^{i} \ge -B$   
 $c_{t}^{i} \ge 0$ .

Here  $b_t \ge -B$ , where B > 0 is chosen large enough, rules out Ponzi schemes but does not otherwise bind in equilibrium.

•  $\hat{c}_t^1 + \hat{c}_t^2 = w_t^1 + w_t^2, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$ 

•  $\hat{b}_t^1 + \hat{b}_t^2 = 0, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$ 

(c) **Proposition 1:** Suppose that  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, ...; \hat{c}_0^1, \hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1, ...; \hat{c}_0^2, \hat{c}_1^2, \hat{c}_2^2, ...$  is an Arrow-Debreu equilibrium. Then  $\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \hat{r}_3, ...; \hat{c}_0^1, \hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1, ...; \hat{c}_0^2, \hat{c}_1^2, \hat{c}_2^2, ...; \hat{b}_1^1, \hat{b}_2^1, \hat{b}_3^1, ...; \hat{b}_1^2, \hat{b}_2^2, \hat{b}_3^2, ...$  is a sequential markets equilibrium where

$$\hat{r}_{t} = \frac{\hat{p}_{t-1}}{\hat{p}_{t}} - 1$$
$$\hat{b}_{1}^{i} = w_{0}^{i} - \hat{c}_{0}^{i}$$
$$\hat{b}_{t+1}^{i} = w_{t}^{i} + (1 + \hat{r}_{t})\hat{b}_{t}^{i} - \hat{c}_{t}^{i}, \ t = 1, 2, \dots$$

**Proposition 2:** Suppose that  $\hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \hat{r}_3, ...; \hat{c}_0^1, \hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1, ...; \hat{c}_0^2, \hat{c}_1^2, \hat{c}_2^2, ...; \hat{b}_1^1, \hat{b}_2^1, \hat{b}_3^1, ...; \hat{b}_1^2, \hat{b}_2^2, \hat{b}_3^2, ...$ is a sequential markets equilibrium. Then  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, ...; \hat{c}_0^1, \hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1, ...; \hat{c}_0^2, \hat{c}_1^2, \hat{c}_2^2, ...$ is an Arrow-Debreu equilibrium where

$$\hat{p}_0 = 1$$
  
 $\hat{p}_t = \prod_{s=1}^t \frac{1}{(1+\hat{r}_s)}, \ t = 1, 2, \dots$ 

(d) Using the two consumers' first order conditions

$$\frac{\beta^t}{c_t^i} = \lambda^i p_t,$$

we can write

$$\frac{c_t^1}{c_t^2} = \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^1}$$

Consequently,

$$c_t^{1} + c_t^{2} = 4$$

$$c_t^{1} + \frac{\lambda^{1}}{\lambda^{2}} c_t^{1} = 4$$

$$c_t^{1} = \frac{\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{1} + \lambda^{2}} 4$$

We can use the first-order condition to write

$$p_{t} = \frac{\beta^{t}}{\lambda^{1}c_{t}^{1}} = \frac{\beta^{t}}{\lambda^{1}\frac{\lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{1}+\lambda^{2}}4} = \frac{\beta^{t}(\lambda^{1}+\lambda^{2})}{\lambda^{1}\lambda^{2}4}$$

We can normalize  $\hat{p}_0 = 1$ , which implies that

$$p_t = \beta^t$$
,

which further implies that

$$p_t c_t^1 = \beta^t \frac{4\lambda^2}{\lambda^1 + \lambda^2}.$$

Consequently,

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t c_t^{1} = \frac{4\lambda^2}{\lambda^1 + \lambda^2} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t = \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \frac{4\lambda^2}{\lambda^1 + \lambda^2} = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_t w_t^{1}$$
$$\frac{1}{1 - \beta} \frac{4\lambda^2}{\lambda^1 + \lambda^2} = 3 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{2t} + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} p_{2t+1}$$
$$\frac{1}{1 - \beta} \frac{4\lambda^2}{\lambda^1 + \lambda^2} = 3 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{2t} + \beta \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{2t}$$
$$\frac{1}{1 - \beta} \frac{4\lambda^2}{\lambda^1 + \lambda^2} = \frac{3 + \beta}{1 - \beta^2}$$
$$\frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^1 + \lambda^2} = \frac{3 + \beta}{1 + \beta},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\lambda^1}{\lambda^1+\lambda^2}=\frac{1+3\beta}{1+\beta}.$$

Consequently,

$$c_t^1 = \frac{3+\beta}{1+\beta}$$
$$c_t^2 = \frac{1+3\beta}{1+\beta}.$$

(We can even work out  $\lambda^1$  and  $\lambda^2$ , although the question does not require this and it would be a waste of precious time to do so during the exam.

$$\lambda^{1} = \frac{1}{c_{0}^{1}} = \frac{1+\beta}{3+\beta}$$
$$\lambda^{2} = \frac{1}{c_{0}^{2}} = \frac{1+\beta}{1+3\beta}.$$

Check:

$$\frac{\lambda^{1}}{\lambda^{1} + \lambda^{2}} = \frac{\frac{1+\beta}{3+\beta}}{\frac{1+\beta}{3+\beta} + \frac{1+\beta}{1+3\beta}} = \frac{\frac{1}{3+\beta}}{\frac{1}{3+\beta} + \frac{1}{1+3\beta}}$$
$$\frac{\lambda^{1}}{\lambda^{1} + \lambda^{2}} = \frac{\frac{1+3\beta}{(3+\beta)(1+3\beta)}}{\frac{1+3\beta}{(3+\beta)(1+3\beta)} + \frac{3+\beta}{(3+\beta)(1+3\beta)}} = \frac{1+3\beta}{4(1+\beta)}.$$

To calculate the sequential markets equilibrium, we just use the formulas from proposition 1 in part c.

$$r_{t} = \frac{\hat{p}_{t-1}}{\hat{p}_{t}} - 1 = \frac{1}{\beta} - 1$$
$$\hat{b}_{1}^{1} = w_{0}^{1} - \hat{c}_{0}^{1} = 3 - \frac{3+\beta}{1+\beta} = \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}, \ \hat{b}_{1}^{2} = \frac{-2\beta}{1+\beta}$$

In even periods, consumer 1 lends  $\frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}$  to consumer 2, who pays back  $\frac{2}{1+\beta}$  in odd periods:

$$(\hat{b}_{1}^{1}, \hat{b}_{2}^{1}, \hat{b}_{3}^{1}, \hat{b}_{4}^{1}, ...) = \left(\frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}, 0, \frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}, 0, ...\right)$$
$$(\hat{b}_{1}^{2}, \hat{b}_{2}^{2}, \hat{b}_{3}^{2}, \hat{b}_{4}^{2}, ...) = \left(\frac{-2\beta}{1+\beta}, 0, \frac{-2\beta}{1+\beta}, 0, ...\right)$$

(e) A sequential markets equilibrium is sequences of rental rates on capital  $\hat{r}_0^k, \hat{r}_1^k, \hat{r}_2^k, \ldots$ ; wages  $\hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2, \ldots$ ; interest rates on bonds  $\hat{r}_0^b, \hat{r}_1^b, \hat{r}_2^b, \ldots$ , consumption levels  $\hat{c}_0^1, \hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1, \ldots; \hat{c}_0^2, \hat{c}_1^2, \hat{c}_2^2, \ldots$ ; capital holdings  $\hat{k}_0^1, \hat{k}_1^1, \hat{k}_2^1, \ldots; \hat{k}_0^2, \hat{k}_1^2, \hat{k}_2^2, \ldots$ ; bond holdings  $\hat{b}_0^1, \hat{b}_1^1, \hat{b}_2^1, \ldots; \hat{b}_0^2, \hat{b}_1^2, \hat{b}_2^2, \ldots$ ; and production plans  $(\hat{y}_0, \hat{k}_0, \hat{\ell}_0), (\hat{y}_1, \hat{k}_1, \hat{\ell}_1), (\hat{y}_2, \hat{k}_2, \hat{\ell}_2), \ldots$  such that

• Given  $\hat{r}_0^k, \hat{r}_1^k, \hat{r}_2^k, \dots, \hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2, \dots, \hat{r}_0^b, \hat{r}_1^b, \hat{r}_2^b, \dots$ , consumer i, i = 1, 2, chooses  $\hat{c}_0^i, \hat{c}_1^i, \hat{c}_2^i, \dots, \hat{k}_0^i, \hat{k}_1^i, \hat{k}_2^i, \dots, \hat{b}_0^i, \hat{b}_1^i, \hat{b}_2^i, \dots$  to solve

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \log c_{t}^{i}$$
  
s.t.  $c_{t}^{i} + k_{t+1}^{i} + b_{t+1}^{i} \le \hat{w}_{t}^{i} \overline{\ell}_{t}^{i} + (1 + \hat{r}_{t}^{k} - \delta)k_{t}^{i} + (1 + \hat{r}_{t}^{b})b_{t}^{i}, t = 0, 1, \dots$   
 $c_{t}^{i} \ge 0, k_{t}^{i} \ge 0 \ b_{t}^{i} \ge -B$   
 $k_{0}^{i} = \overline{k}_{0}^{i}, \ b_{0}^{i} = 0.$ 

• Given  $\hat{r}_0^k, \hat{r}_1^k, \hat{r}_2^k, \dots, \hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2, \dots$ , firms choose  $(\hat{y}_0, \hat{k}_0, \hat{\ell}_0), (\hat{y}_1, \hat{k}_1, \hat{\ell}_1), (\hat{y}_2, \hat{k}_2, \hat{\ell}_2), \dots$  to minimize costs, and  $\hat{r}_0^k, \hat{r}_1^k, \hat{r}_2^k, \dots, \hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2, \dots$  are such that firms earn 0 profits:

$$\hat{r}_t^k = \alpha \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha - 1} \hat{\ell}_t^{1 - \alpha}$$
$$\hat{w}_t = (1 - \alpha) \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha} \hat{\ell}_t^{-\alpha}$$

• 
$$\hat{c}_t^1 + \hat{c}_t^2 + \hat{k}_{t+1} - (1 - \delta)\hat{k}_t = \hat{y}_t = \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha} \hat{\ell}_t^{1 - \alpha}, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$$

•  $\hat{k}_t^1 + \hat{k}_t^2 = \hat{k}_t, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$ 

• 
$$\overline{\ell}_t^1 + \overline{\ell}_t^2 = \hat{\ell}_t, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$$

• 
$$\hat{b}_t^1 + \hat{b}_t^2 = 0, t = 0, 1, \dots$$

2. (a) With an Arrow-Debreu markets structure futures markets for goods are open in period 1. Consumers trade futures contracts among themselves.

An **Arrow-Debreu equilibrium** is a sequence of prices  $\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2,...$  and an allocation  $\hat{c}_1^0, (\hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1), (\hat{c}_2^2, \hat{c}_3^2),...$  such that

• Given  $\hat{p}_1$ , consumer 0 chooses  $\hat{c}_1^0$  to solve

$$\max \log c_1^0$$
  
s.t  $\hat{p}_1 c_1^0 \le \hat{p}_1 w_2 + m$   
 $c_1^0 \ge 0$ .

• Given  $\hat{p}_t, \hat{p}_{t+1}$ , consumer t, t = 1, 2, ..., chooses  $(\hat{c}_t^t, \hat{c}_{t+1}^t)$  to solve

$$\max \log c_{t}^{t} + \log c_{t+1}^{t}$$
  
s.t.  $\hat{p}_{t}c_{t}^{t} + \hat{p}_{t+1}c_{t+1}^{t} \leq \hat{p}_{t}w_{1} + \hat{p}_{t+1}w_{2}$   
 $c_{t}^{t}, c_{t+1}^{t} \geq 0.$ 

•  $\hat{c}_t^{t-1} + \hat{c}_t^t = w_2 + w_1, t = 1, 2, \dots$ 

(b) With sequential market markets structure, there are markets for goods and assets open every period. The consumers in generations t-1 and t trade goods and assets among themselves.

A sequential markets equilibrium is a sequence of interest rates  $\hat{r}_2, \hat{r}_3, \ldots$ , an allocation  $\hat{c}_1^0, (\hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1), (\hat{c}_2^2, \hat{c}_3^2), \ldots$ , and asset holdings  $\hat{b}_2^1, \hat{b}_3^2, \ldots$  such that

• Consumer 0 chooses  $\hat{c}_1^0$  to solve

$$\max \log c_1^0$$
  
s.t  $c_1^0 \le w_2 + m$   
 $c_1^0 \ge 0$ .

• Given  $\hat{r}_{t+1}$ , consumer t, t = 1, 2, ..., chooses  $(\hat{c}_t^t, \hat{c}_{t+1}^t)$  and  $\hat{b}_{t+1}^t$  to solve

$$\max \log c_{t}^{t} + \log c_{t+1}^{t}$$
  
s.t.  $c_{t}^{t} + b_{t+1}^{t} \le w_{1}$   
 $c_{t+1}^{t} \le w_{2} + (1 + \hat{r}_{t+1})b_{t+1}^{t}$   
 $c_{t}^{t}, c_{t+1}^{t} \ge 0.$ 

• 
$$\hat{c}_t^{t-1} + \hat{c}_t^t = w_2 + w_1, \ t = 1, 2, \dots$$

•  $\hat{b}_2^1 = m$  $\hat{b}_{t+1}^t = \left[\prod_{\tau=2}^t (1+\hat{r}_{\tau})\right] m, t = 2, 3, \dots$ 

(c) Since there is no fiat money, there is only one good per period, there is only one consumer type in each generation, and consumers live for only two periods, the equilibrium allocation is autarky:

$$\hat{c}_1^0 = w_2$$
  
 $(\hat{c}_t^t, \hat{c}_{t+1}^t) = (w_1, w_2)$ 

The first order conditions from the consumers' problems in the Arrow-Debreu equilibrium imply that

$$\frac{\hat{p}_{t+1}}{\hat{p}_t} = \frac{\hat{c}_t^t}{\hat{c}_{t+1}^t} = \frac{w_1}{w_2} \,.$$

Normalizing  $\hat{p}_1 = 1$ , we obtain  $\hat{p}_t = (w_1 / w_2)^{t-1}$ . Similarly, the first order conditions from the consumers' problems in the sequential markets equilibrium, imply that

$$\frac{1}{1+\hat{r}_{t+1}} = \frac{\hat{c}_t^t}{\hat{c}_{t+1}^t} = \frac{w_1}{w_2}$$

or  $\hat{r}_t = (w_2 / w_1) - 1$ . Since the equilibrium allocation is autarky,  $\hat{s}_{t+1}^t = 0$ .

(d) An allocation  $\hat{c}_1^0, (\hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1), (\hat{c}_2^2, \hat{c}_3^2), \dots$  is **feasible** if

$$\hat{c}_t^{t-1} + \hat{c}_t^t \le w_2 + w_1, \ t = 1, \ 2, \ \dots$$

An allocation is **Pareto efficient** if it is feasible and there exists no other allocation  $\overline{c_1}^0, (\overline{c_1}^1, \overline{c_2}^1), (\overline{c_2}^2, \overline{c_3}^2), \ldots$  that is also feasible and satisfies

$$\log \overline{c}_1^0 \ge \log \hat{c}_1^0$$
$$\log \overline{c}_t^t + \log \overline{c}_{t+1}^t \ge \log \hat{c}_t^t + \log \hat{c}_{t+1}^t, \ t = 1, 2, \dots,$$

with at least one inequality strict.

If  $w_1 > w_2$ , the equilibrium allocation is not Pareto efficient. There is another allocation,

$$\overline{c}_{1}^{0} = \frac{w_{1} + w_{2}}{2}$$
$$(\overline{c}_{t}^{t}, \overline{c}_{t+1}^{t}) = \left(\frac{w_{1} + w_{2}}{2}, \frac{w_{1} + w_{2}}{2}\right),$$

that is feasible and Pareto dominates the equilibrium allocation:

$$\overline{c}_t^{t-1} + \overline{c}_{t+1}^t = w_1 + w_2, \ t = 1, 2, \dots$$

and, since  $w_1 > w_2$ ,

$$\log \overline{c_1}^0 = \log \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2} > \log w_2 = \log \hat{c_1}^0.$$

In addition,

$$\log \overline{c}_{t}^{t} + \log \overline{c}_{t+1}^{t} = \log \frac{w_{1} + w_{2}}{2} + \log \frac{w_{1} + w_{2}}{2} > \log w_{1} + \log w_{2} = \log \hat{c}_{t}^{t} + \log \hat{c}_{t+1}^{t}.$$

One way to show this is to show that  $(c_1, c_2) = \left(\frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}, \frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}\right)$  is the solution to

$$\max \log c_1 + \log c_2$$
  
s.t.  $c_1 + c_2 \le w_1 + w_2$ .

Another way to show it is working through the algebra:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{w_1 - w_2}{2} \\ \end{pmatrix}^2 > 0 \text{ if } w_1 \neq w_2 \\ \frac{w_1^2 + w_2^2 - 2w_1w_2}{4} > 0 \\ \frac{w_1^2 + w_2^2 + 2w_1w_2}{4} - w_1w_2 > 0 \\ \left(\frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}\right)^2 - w_1w_2 > 0 \\ \left(\frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}\right)^2 - w_1w_2 > 0 \\ \left(\frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}\right)^2 > w_1w_2 \\ \log\left(\frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}\right) + \log\left(\frac{w_1 + w_2}{2}\right) > \log w_1 + \log w_2 \\ \log \overline{c}_t^t + \log \overline{c}_{t+1}^t > \log \hat{c}_t^t + \log \hat{c}_{t+1}^t. \end{cases}$$

(e) A sequential markets equilibrium is a sequence of interest rates  $\hat{r}_2, \hat{r}_3, \ldots$ , an allocation  $\hat{c}_1^0, (\hat{c}_1^1, \hat{c}_2^1), (\hat{c}_2^2, \hat{c}_3^2), \ldots$ , asset holdings  $\hat{b}_2^1, \hat{b}_3^2, \ldots$ , and a storage plan  $\hat{x}_2^1, \hat{x}_3^2, \ldots$  such that

• Consumer 0 chooses  $\hat{c}_1^0$  to solve

$$\max \log c_1^0$$
  
s.t  $c_1^0 \le w_2 + m$   
 $c_1^0 \ge 0$ .

• Given  $\hat{r}_t$ , consumer t, t = 1, 2, ..., chooses  $(\hat{c}_t^t, \hat{c}_{t+1}^t)$ ,  $\hat{b}_{t+1}^t$ , and  $\hat{x}_{t+1}^t$  to solve

$$\max \log c_{t}^{t} + \log c_{t+1}^{t}$$
  
s.t.  $c_{t}^{t} + b_{t+1}^{t} + x_{t+1}^{t} \le w_{1}$   
 $c_{t+1}^{t} \le w_{2} + (1 + \hat{r}_{t+1})s_{t+1}^{t} + \theta x_{t+1}^{t}$   
 $c_{t}^{t}, c_{t+1}^{t}, \hat{x}_{t+1}^{t} \ge 0$ .

• 
$$\hat{c}_1^0 + \hat{c}_1^1 + \hat{x}_2^1 = w_2 + w_1$$
  
 $\hat{c}_t^{t-1} + \hat{c}_t^t + \hat{x}_{t+1}^t = w_2 + w_1 + \theta \hat{x}_t^{t-1}, \ t = 2, 3, \dots$ 

•  $\hat{b}_2^1 = m$  $\hat{b}_{t+1}^t = \left[\prod_{\tau=2}^t (1+\hat{r}_{\tau})\right] m, \ t = 2, 3, \dots$ 

If m = 0, then the storage technology will be used if

$$\theta > \frac{W_2}{W_1}$$

 $\frac{w_2}{w_1}$  is  $1 + \hat{r}_t$  in the equilibrium in the economy with no storage. If storage takes place in

the economy with storage, then  $1 + \hat{r}_t$  increases to  $\theta$  so that the consumer does not have an incentive to see bonds.

If m > 0, there is a continuum of equilibria. In all but one of them, the equilibria converge to the equilibrium of the economy where m = 0. Consequently, the storage technology will (eventually) be used if

$$1 > \theta > \frac{W_2}{W_1}.$$

If  $0 < m < \frac{w_1 - w_2}{2}$  and  $\theta = 1$ , then there is an equilibrium where the storage technology is used.

3. (a) With Arrow-Debreu markets, there are futures markets of goods, capital, labor services, capital services open in period 0. Consumers sell labor services to firms. They buy goods from firms. Who makes the capital accumulation decision can be modeled different ways. We could have consumers buy and sell future claims to capital and sell claims to capital services to firms, or we could have consumers sell their initial capital to firms and have firms buy and sell future claims to capital services t

An **Arrow-Debreu equilibrium** is sequences of prices of goods  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, ...$ , wages  $\hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, ...$ , rental rates  $\hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, ...$ , consumption levels  $\hat{c}_0, \hat{c}_1, ...$ , and capital stocks  $\hat{k}_0, \hat{k}_1, ...$  such that

• Given  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots, \hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, \dots$ , and  $\hat{r}_0$ , the consumer chooses  $\hat{c}_0, \hat{c}_1, \dots$  to solve

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \log c_{t}$$
  
s.t. 
$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \hat{p}_{t} c_{t} \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \hat{w}_{t} + \hat{r}_{0} \overline{k}_{0}$$
$$c_{t} \geq 0.$$

(Here we have the consumers sell their initial capital to firms and have firms make capital accumulation decisions. If we have consumers make capital accumulation decisions, then consumers choose  $\hat{k}_0, \hat{k}_1, \ldots$  and the budget constraint is

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \hat{p}_t \left( c_t + k_{t+1} \right) \le \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left( \hat{w}_t + \hat{r}_t k_t \right).$$

•  $\hat{r}_t = \hat{p}_t \alpha \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha - 1}, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$  $\hat{w}_t = \hat{p}_t (1 - \alpha) \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha}, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$  $\hat{r}_{t+1} - \hat{p}_t \le 0, \ = 0 \text{ if } \hat{k}_{t+1} > 0, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$ 

(A good answer would explain that these are the profit maximization conditions for constant returns. Notice that, if we have consumers make capital accumulation decisions, then the zero profit condition on accumulating capital is a first order condition for utility maximization and does not need to be included as a separate equilibrium condition.)

• 
$$\hat{c}_t + \hat{k}_{t+1} = \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha}, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$$

(b) With sequential market markets structure, there are markets for goods, labor services, capital services, and bonds open every period. Consumers sell labor services and rent capital to the firm. They buy goods from the firm, some of which they consume and some of which they save as capital. They trade bonds among themselves.

A sequential markets equilibrium is sequences of rental rates  $\hat{r}_0^k, \hat{r}_1^k, \ldots$ , interest rates  $\hat{r}_0^b, \hat{r}_1^b, \ldots$ , wages  $\hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, \ldots$ , consumption levels  $\hat{c}_0, \hat{c}_1, \ldots$ , capital stocks  $\hat{k}_0, \hat{k}_1, \ldots$ , and bond holdings  $\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \ldots$ , such that

• Given  $\hat{r}_0^k, \hat{r}_1^k, ..., \hat{r}_0^b, \hat{r}_1^b, ...,$  and  $\hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, ...,$  the consumer chooses  $\hat{c}_0, \hat{c}_1, ..., \hat{k}_0, \hat{k}_1, ...,$ and  $\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, ...$  to solve

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \log c_{t}$$
  
s.t.  $c_{t} + k_{t+1} + b_{t+1} \le \hat{w}_{t} + \hat{r}_{t}^{k} k_{t} + (1 + \hat{r}_{t}^{b}) b_{t}, t = 0, 1, \dots$   
 $k_{0} = \overline{k}_{0}, b_{0} = 0$   
 $b_{t} \ge -B, c_{t}, k_{t} \ge 0.$ 

•  $\hat{r}_t^k = \alpha \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha-1}, t = 0, 1, \dots$ 

$$\hat{w}_t = (1 - \alpha)\theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha}, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$$

•  $\hat{c}_t + \hat{k}_{t+1} = \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha}, t = 0, 1, ...$ 

•  $\hat{b}_t = 0, t = 0, 1, \dots$ 

(c) **Proposition 1:** Suppose that  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots; \hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, \dots; \hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \dots; \hat{c}_0, \hat{c}_1, \dots; \hat{k}_0, \hat{k}_1, \dots$ is an Arrow-Debreu equilibrium. Then  $\hat{r}_0^k, \hat{r}_1^k, \dots; \hat{r}_0^b, \hat{r}_1^b, \dots; \tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1, \dots; \hat{c}_0, \hat{c}_1, \dots; \hat{k}_0, \hat{k}_1, \dots;$  $\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \dots$  is a sequential markets equilibrium where

$$\hat{r}_t^k = \frac{\hat{r}_t}{\hat{p}_t}$$

$$\hat{r}_t^b = \hat{r}_t^k - 1$$

$$\tilde{w}_t = \frac{\hat{w}_t}{\hat{p}_t}$$

$$\hat{b}_t = 0, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$$

**Proposition 2:** Suppose that  $\hat{r}_0^k, \hat{r}_1^k, \dots; \hat{r}_0^b, \hat{r}_1^b, \dots; \hat{w}_0, \hat{w}_1, \dots; \hat{c}_0, \hat{c}_1, \dots; \hat{k}_0, \hat{k}_1, \dots;$  $\hat{b}_0, \hat{b}_1, \dots$  is a sequential markets equilibrium. Then  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2, \dots; \tilde{w}_0, \tilde{w}_1, \dots; \hat{r}_0, \hat{r}_1, \hat{r}_2, \dots;$  $\hat{c}_0, \hat{c}_1, \dots; \hat{k}_0, \hat{k}_1, \dots$  is an Arrow-Debreu equilibrium where

$$\hat{p}_0 = 1$$

$$\hat{p}_t = \prod_{s=1}^t \frac{1}{\hat{r}_s^k}, \ t = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\hat{r} = \hat{p}_t \hat{r}_t^k$$

$$\tilde{w}_t = \hat{p}_t \hat{w}_t.$$

(Notice that, according to the way in which we have done things, we need to use separate symbols for the Arrow-Debreu wage, the price of labor services in period t in terms of period 0 goods, and the sequential markets wage, of labor services in period t in terms of period t goods.)

(d) A Pareto efficient allocation/production plan is sequences  $\hat{c}_0, \hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{k}_0, \hat{k}_1, \dots$  that are feasible,

$$\hat{c}_t + \hat{k}_{t+1} = \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha}, \ t = 0, \ 1, \ \dots$$
$$\hat{k}_0 \le \overline{k}_0,$$

and such that there exists no alternative allocation  $\tilde{c}_t, \tilde{k}_t$  that is also feasible and such that

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log \tilde{c}_t > \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \log \hat{c}_t \,.$$

In other words, the allocation  $\hat{c}_t, \hat{k}_t$  solves

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^{t} \log c_{t}$$
  
s.t.  $c_{t} + k_{t+1} \leq \theta k_{t}^{\alpha}, t = 0, 1, \dots$   
 $k_{0} \leq \overline{k_{0}}$   
 $c_{t}, k_{t} \geq 0.$ 

**Proposition:** The allocation/production plan in a sequential markets equilibrium is Pareto efficient.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\hat{r}_t^k, \hat{r}_t^b, \hat{w}_t, \hat{c}_t, \hat{k}_t, \hat{b}_t$  is an equilibrium. Then

$$\hat{c}_t + \hat{k}_{t+1} = \theta \hat{k}_t^{\alpha}, t = 0, 1, \dots$$
$$\hat{k}_0 \le \overline{k}_0,$$

and there exist Lagrange multipliers  $p_t \ge 0$ , t = 0, 1, ..., such that

$$\frac{\beta^{t}}{\hat{c}_{t}} - p_{t} = 0, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$$
$$-p_{t} + p_{t+1}\alpha\theta\hat{k}_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} = 0, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} p_{t}\hat{k}_{t+1} = 0.$$

The necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\tilde{c}_t, \tilde{k}_t$  to be a Pareto efficient allocation/production plan are

$$\begin{split} \tilde{c}_t + \tilde{k}_{t+1} &= \theta \tilde{k}_t^{\alpha} \text{, } t = 0, 1, \dots \\ \tilde{k}_0 &= \overline{k}_0, \end{split}$$

and that there exist some Lagrange multipliers  $\pi_t \ge 0, t = 0, 1, \dots$ , such that

$$\frac{\beta^{t}}{\tilde{c}_{t}} - \pi_{t} = 0, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$$
$$-\pi_{t} + \pi_{t+1} \alpha \theta \tilde{k}_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} = 0, \ t = 0, 1, \dots$$

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}\pi_t \tilde{k}_{t+1}=0.$ 

Given that  $\hat{r}_t^k, \hat{r}_t^b, \hat{w}_t, \hat{c}_t, \hat{k}_t, \hat{b}_t$  is an equilibrium, we can set  $\tilde{c}_t = \hat{c}_t, \quad \tilde{k}_t = \hat{k}_t$ , and  $\pi_t = p_t$  and thus construct an allocation that satisfies the necessary and sufficient conditions for Pareto efficiency.

(e) Bellman's equation is

$$V(k) = \max \log c + \beta V(k')$$
  
s.t.  $c + k' \le \theta k^{\alpha}$   
 $c, k' \ge 0$ .

Guessing that V(k) has the form  $a_0 + a_1 \log k$ , we can solve for c and k':

$$c = \frac{1}{1+\beta a_1} \theta k^{\alpha}, \ k' = \frac{\beta a_1}{1+\beta a_1} \theta k^{\alpha}.$$

We can plug these solutions back into Bellman's equation to obtain

$$a_0 + a_1 \log k = \log \left( \frac{1}{1 + \beta a_1} \theta k^{\alpha} \right) + \beta \left[ a_0 + a_1 \log \left( \frac{\beta a_1}{1 + \beta a_1} \theta k^{\alpha} \right) \right].$$

Collecting all the terms on the right-hand side that involve  $\log k$ , we can solve for  $a_1$ :

$$a_1 = \alpha + \alpha \beta a_1$$
$$a_1 = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha \beta},$$

which implies that

$$c = (1 - \alpha \beta) \theta k^{\alpha}, k' = \alpha \beta \theta k^{\alpha}.$$

[We can also solve for  $a_0$ :

$$a_{0} = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left[ \log\left(\frac{\theta}{1+\beta a_{1}}\right) + \beta a_{1} \log\left(\frac{\beta a_{1}\theta}{1+\beta a_{1}}\right) \right]$$
$$a_{0} = \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left[ \log\left((1-\alpha\beta)\theta\right) + \frac{\alpha\beta}{1-\alpha\beta} \log\left(\alpha\beta\theta\right) \right],$$

but this is tedious, and, besides, the question does not ask us to do it.]

To calculate the sequential markets equilibrium, we just run the first order difference equation

$$k_{t+1} = \alpha \beta \theta k_t^{\alpha}$$

forward, starting at  $k_0 = \overline{k_0}$ . We set

$$c_{t} = (1 - \alpha \beta) \theta k_{t}^{\alpha}$$
$$b_{t} = 0$$
$$r_{t}^{k} = \alpha \theta k_{t}^{\alpha - 1}$$
$$r_{t}^{b} = \alpha \theta k_{t}^{\alpha - 1} - 1$$
$$w_{t} = (1 - \alpha) \theta k_{t}^{\alpha}.$$

Notice that this problem actually has an analytical solution:

$$k_{t} = \alpha\beta\theta k_{t-1}^{\alpha} = \alpha\beta\theta \left(\alpha\beta\theta k_{t-2}^{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha} = \left(\alpha\beta\theta\right)^{\sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1}\alpha^{\tau}} \overline{k}_{0}^{\alpha'} = \left(\alpha\beta\theta\right)^{\frac{1-\alpha'}{1-\alpha}} \overline{k}_{0}^{\alpha'}.$$