## Econ 8601: Industrial Organization <br> Lecture 1 <br> The Cost of Monopoly in General Equilibrium

- Set of goods $[0,1], x \in[0,1]$ a particular good.
- Utility function of representative consumer

$$
\begin{aligned}
U & =\left(\int_{0}^{1} q(x)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} d x\right)^{\mu} \\
\sigma & =\frac{\mu}{\mu-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\mu>1$.

- Unit time endowment .
- Technology: one unit of labor per unit of good.
- Let labor be numeraire, $w=1$ Goods $x \in[0, \lambda]$ are controlled by a monopolist
- Goods $x \in(\lambda, 1]$ are perfectly competitive.
- The representative consumer owns shares in all the firms.

Solution

- Let $\pi_{M}$ be the equilibrium monopoly profit of a representative monopolist.
- Income of the representative consumer

$$
I=1+\lambda \pi_{M}
$$

- $p_{C}=1$.
- Constant elasticity of demand $\Rightarrow$ the price in monopoly industries is $p_{M}=\mu$.
- Let $q_{M}$ and $q_{C}$ be quantities in the equilibrium of this economy.
- Consumer MRS implies:

$$
\frac{q_{M}}{q_{C}}=\left(\frac{p_{M}}{p_{C}}\right)^{-\sigma}
$$

But $p_{C}=1$ and $p_{M}=\mu$, so

$$
q_{M}=q_{C} \mu^{-\sigma}
$$

- Resource constraint for labor,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda q_{M}+(1-\lambda) q_{C} & =1 \\
\lambda q_{C} \mu^{-\sigma}+(1-\lambda) q_{C} & = \\
q_{C} & =\frac{1}{\left(1-\lambda+\lambda \mu^{-\sigma}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Welfare gains from antitrust

- $v$ be the compensating variation (the change in income at the new prices so the representative consumer is indifferent to old system).
- New prices, $p=1$ everywhere.
- $Y=1-v$ be income.
- $U=Y$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-v & =\left(\lambda q_{M}^{\frac{1}{\mu}}+(1-\lambda) q_{C}^{\frac{1}{\mu}}\right)^{\mu} \\
& =\left(\lambda\left(q_{C} \mu^{-\sigma}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}+(1-\lambda) q_{C}^{\frac{1}{\mu}}\right)^{\mu} \\
& =q_{C}\left(\lambda \mu^{-\frac{\sigma}{\mu}}+1-\lambda\right)^{\mu} \\
& =\frac{\left(\lambda \mu^{-\frac{\sigma}{\mu}}+1-\lambda\right)^{\mu}}{\left(1-\lambda+\lambda \mu^{-\sigma}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
v=1-\frac{\left(1-\lambda+\lambda \mu^{-\frac{1}{\mu-1}}\right)^{\mu}}{\left(1-\lambda+\lambda \mu^{-\frac{\mu}{\mu-1}}\right)}
$$

Observe that

$$
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1} \mu^{-\frac{1}{\mu-1}}=\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1} \mu^{-\frac{\mu}{\mu-1}}=.3679
$$

So

$$
\lim _{\mu \rightarrow 1} v=0
$$

The Value of $v$ by $\mu$ and $\lambda$

| $\mu$ | $\lambda$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 0 | .2 | .4 | .6 | .8 | .9 | 1.0 |
| 1.1 | .000 | .005 | .009 | .012 | .011 | .007 | .000 |
| 1.5 | .000 | .025 | .045 | .058 | .053 | .036 | .000 |
| 2.0 | .000 | .047 | .086 | .109 | .100 | .069 | .000 |
| 5.0 | .000 | .141 | .248 | .312 | .301 | .227 | .000 |

## Discussion

- Pretty old idea that $100 \%$ won't distort (Joan Robinson 1934)
- Allocative Distortions gets attention in Macro Literature
- Hsieh and Klenow (2009), Restucia and Rogerson (2008)
- Papers working this angle with trade (opening up trade lowers monopoly power)
- Edmond, Virgiliu Xu (AER 2015)
- Holmes, Hsu, Lee (Journal of International Economics, 2014)
- Other costs of monopoly besides allocative distortions
- Rent Seeking (could dissipate the profit)
- Posner, Hsieh and Moretti (JPE 2003) example of real estate agents.
- broadly can be considered an allocative distortion, too much resouces allocated to entry. Difference is the loss is a "square," note a triangle.
- Effect of competition on productivity
- Old papers by Holmes and Schmitz


## Efficiency Of Free Entry: Example Models of Mankiw and Whinston

- Homogenous product market demand $P(Q), Q$ total output. $P^{\prime}(Q)<0$
- Fixed cost $\phi$
- Variable costs $c(q), c(0)=0, c^{\prime}(q) \geq 0, c^{\prime \prime}(q) \geq 0$.
- Second stage, output per entrant is determined. Let $q_{N}$ be equilibrium output per firm, given $N$ entrants (you pick model of competition). But assume (easy to check this is satisfied with Cournot and $\left.P^{\prime \prime}(Q) \leq 0\right)$ :
- $N q_{N}>\hat{N} \hat{q}_{N}, N>\hat{N}$ and $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} N q_{N}=M<\infty$
- $q_{N}<q_{\hat{N}}$, for $N>\hat{N}$.
- $P\left(N q_{N}\right)-c^{\prime}\left(q_{N}\right)>0$ for all $N$.
- First stage entry: $N^{e}$, then $\pi_{N^{e}} \geq 0$, and $\pi_{N^{e}+1}<0$.


## Social Planner

- Planner controls entry but not pricing given entry.
- Maximizes total surplus. So problem is

$$
\max _{N} W(N)=\int_{0}^{N q_{N}} P(s) d s-N c\left(q_{N}\right)-N \phi
$$

- Ignore integer constraint, for now. The Planner's FONC is

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\prime}\left(N^{*}\right) & =P\left(N q_{N}\right)\left[N \frac{\partial q_{N}}{\partial N}+q_{N}\right]-c\left(q_{N}\right)-N c^{\prime}\left(q_{N}\right) \frac{\partial q_{N}}{\partial N}-\phi \\
& =\left[P q_{N}-c-\phi\right]+N\left[P-c^{\prime}\right] \frac{\partial q_{N}}{\partial N} \\
& =\pi_{N}+N\left[P-c^{\prime}\right] \frac{\partial q_{N}}{\partial N} \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Evaluate at $N^{e}$, observe that $\pi_{N^{e}}=0$, so $W^{\prime}\left(N^{e}\right)<0$, (since $P>c^{\prime}$, and $\frac{\partial q_{N}}{\partial N}<0$. Excessive entry.
- Intuition
- If impose the integer constraint then $N^{e} \geq N^{*}-1$.

